



# ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

*The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance —*

Registered By Australia Post — Publication No. VBH 0999

Vol.27, No.41

October 25th, 1991

**THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:** "Economists have become as dangerous as rabbits, prickly pear or cane toads. Economists have become the cultural cane toads of Canberra, oozing over the landscape and endangering myriad indigenous species. Not only the economy, but also mental health would be greatly improved if we could lift the fog of obfuscation on things economic. The first step is to take economists from their pedestal and to see them as the curiosities they are..... economists' outpourings should, as a matter of principle, be met with laughter, derision, benign paternalism. They should cease to be employed as media commentators. In the long term, they should cease to be hired. Let them be pensioned off and die out. Extinction is a worthy end for a profession whose brief is rotten to the core. The Australian economy would be more productive and Australian society would be far happier without them."

- Evan Jones, senior lecturer in the Department of Economics at University of Sydney, in The Bulletin, October 8th

**ZIONISTS SPEARHEAD THREAT TO FREE SPEECH:** During his recent Australian tour, Canadian barrister Doug Christie cited the Canadian situation which was a warning to Australians that free speech and historical research would be curbed if Zionist spokesmen had their way. Zionist spokesmen have already suggested that any criticism of the "Holocaust" should be made a criminal offence, while Zionist leader Mark Liebler, in an offensive correspondence with the Headmaster of the Brighton Anglican Grammar School, and Melbourne Archbishop Rayner, has objected to the manner in which The New Testament is being taught, claiming that such teaching "poisons" the minds of Christians with "anti-semitism". Pressure for the anti-Christian war crime trials in Canada, Australia, New Zealand and the United Kingdom, has come primarily from one group, Zionist leaders.

Doug Christie's fears about the threat to free speech and historical research surfaced in the United Kingdom, where the House of Commons has persevered with proposed war-crime trials in spite of strong objections by the House of Lords, particularly by some of the most

distinguished jurists, and where the American authority on gas chambers, Fred Leuchter, has been banned from visiting to lecture at the invitation of British historian David Irving. Zionist spokesmen frankly admit that they campaigned to keep Leuchter out, expressing the fear that his lectures on what he discovered in Auschwitz and other German camps during a first-hand investigation, might encourage the development of historical revisionism in the United Kingdom.

Legislation passed first by the Greiner N.S.W. Government, and subsequently by the West Australian Government, seeks to ban what is described as "hate literature". The Australian Law Reform Commission has recommended that the Crimes Act be amended to make it an offence to incite racial violence. Zionist spokesmen have already indicated that they want any criticism of the "Holocaust" regarded as a manifestation of creating hate. In an article in the June issue of Without Prejudice, described as a publication of the Australian Institute of Jewish Affairs, Dr. Paul Gardner, a reader in education at Monash University, contributed an article on The League of Rights, which concludes that "The League can unequivocally be characterised as a hate organisation". Dr. Gardner claims that while the League may not promote violence directly, but "is content to plant ideas which can take dangerous root in receptive minds". Dr. Gardner then plants a few of his own ideas. Yes, we should value freedom of speech, but this must not be an "unlimited right", drawing attention to the fact that in Germany (and he could have added France) "publication of Holocaust revisionist material is a criminal offence".

Dr. Gardner suggests that in the absence of an inquiry into where the League obtains its funds, there must be "exposure and education through public media..." In other words, an attempted softening-up to prepare the way for the eventual introduction of the type of legislation Dr. Gardner and his totalitarian colleagues favour. Dr. Gardner does not mention that the proposed inquiry into the League and its funding came to a sudden halt when National Director Eric Butler offered to meet with representatives of the Government and Opposition Parties.

**THREAT OF VIOLENCE IN THE BUSH:** Desperate conditions throughout rural Australia have produced strong reactions from Australian farmers as they are economically decimated. Australia is facing the greatest tragedy in its history. The very backbone of the nation is being broken. Desperate situations produce desperate reactions, and when members of the rural community look in vain for any constructive suggestions from the National Party or farmers' organisations, it is not surprising that there is a militancy never seen before. It is hard for farmers to understand why, for example, they have to suffer a flood of cheap food from other countries. They do not understand how Australia's problems are to be solved by allowing the banks to drive them from their properties into the already overswollen big cities.

An ugly story from Western Australia tells of a Rural "Strike Force" which has already cut one railway line with threats of further direct action. Efforts have been made to blame the more responsible for the many Rural Action groups, which in turn are labelled as "fronts" for the Australian League of Rights. For the record, the League restates its position concerning violence: The League believes that any group which resorts to violence is playing into the hands of its enemies. There are legitimate political and other means available to those who want to change the policies ruining traditional Australia. The League completely endorses the Bank Watch campaign initiated by South Australian farmer and miner, Jim Cronin.

(Bank Watch available from all League addresses, \$5.00 posted.)

The tragedy of the developing situation is that it could have been avoided if the League's warnings and advice had been heeded. The so-called representatives of the rural community did not warn that the dismantling of secondary industries, with Australians starting to import what they once manufactured in Australia, would eventually see a flood of cheap food imports all under the general strategy of "internationalising" the national economy. Now the moments of truth, and decision, have arrived. The nation is in deep crisis, but it is far from defeated and a grassroots movement on constructive lines can turn it around.

**MULTICULTURAL MADNESS:** There appears to be no limit to the madness concerning what is called the multicultural society. According to the advocates of this concept, people of different racial, cultural and religious backgrounds can all maintain their differences indefinitely and live together in harmony. One of Australia's best known advocates of multiculturalism is Victorian Labor M.H.R., Dr. Andrew Theophanous. Now Dr. Theophanous is chairman for a group known as "Parliamentarians for Croatia and Slovenia", and is campaigning for Australia to recognise immediately the existence of Croatia and Slovenia as sovereign States. What is happening in Yugoslavia is also happening throughout the former Soviet Empire. People are striving to return to their roots, to preserve their identities, to become separate in order to gain harmony. It is astonishing that multiculturalists like Dr. Theophanous believe that multiculturalism is not possible in a Yugoslavian Federation, but can be made to work in Australia. In the meantime Australians of Serbian background are complaining that the Croatians are misrepresenting the situation in Yugoslavia.

Professor Geoffrey Blainey was right when he said that the policy of multiculturalism would destroy Australian stability and create a country inhabited by a number of warring tribes. The multicultural hoax must be made a major issue at the next Federal Elections.

**COALITION FRICTION ON G.S.T.:** The State Council of the Queensland National Party, meeting in Bundaberg last weekend, delivered a strong warning to Dr. Hewson's Liberals that the Goods & Services Tax will not be easy to "sell" to the provincial National Party. The Party's Federal Leader, Tim Fischer, was involved in a bruising exchange with Sir Robert Sparkes, former Party President, as Fischer addressed the Party on the merits of the G.S.T. While the League has had good reason to be highly critical of Sir Robert's influence on National Party policy in the past, there is no doubting his political acumen.

Reports describing the shouting match that developed at the conference include Sir Robert's description of the G.S.T. as a "disaster" for the Coalition's election prospects at the next Federal election, which could come at any time after Christmas.

From the feedback that League speakers pick up at the grassroots of Australian politics - where people like Dr. Hewson spend too little time - the Sparkes assessment appears to be correct; there is deep suspicion about the new tax, and some considerable cynicism.

**WILL TOTAL TAXES COME DOWN?** In the end, the only true test of any tax structure is whether the total volume of taxation collected increases or decreases. The G.S.T. is not being sold on the basis of reducing total taxation. It is claimed to be "revenue neutral" which means that no decrease in taxation revenues can be expected.

In his frequent reference to the New Zealand experience, Dr. Hewson usually fails to point out that the G.S.T. began at the rate of 10%, and was quickly raised - against all promises - to 12½% after the first year!

While total taxes in New Zealand have steadily increased, services have been sold off to corporate bidders, and are now paid for directly by the consumer.

The final question to be answered by Dr. Hewson, is when did a political leader last enter an election campaign promising a new tax, and go on to win that election?

Sir Robert Sparkes obviously asked himself the same question, declaring that he would like to be Mr. Hawke's adviser mounting the strategy to campaign against the G.S.T. We agree that Dr. Hewson, the numbers man and economic puritan, is fashioning a rod with which an astute Labor Party can beat the daylights out of him in the next election campaign.